



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/537,517 | 06/03/2005 | Markus Feuser | DE02 0290 US | 3562 |
| 65913 | 7590 | 06/22/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| NXP, B.V. | | | SU, SARAH | |
| NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING | | | | |
| M/S41-SJ | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1109 MCKAY DRIVE | | | | 2431 |
| SAN JOSE, CA 95131 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 06/22/2009 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/537,517 | FEUSER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sarah Su | 2431 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sarah Su. (3) Sean Whaley.
 (2) Scott Pojunas (62,590). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rose et al. (US 2004/0039908 A1), Candelore et al. (EP 0908810 A2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed claims 1 and 8 with reference to the prior art Rose and Candelore. The recursiveness of the encryption process was clarified. Applicant's representative will submit appropriate formal amendments accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| /Sarah Su/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431 | /William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431 |
|---------------------------------------|--|